

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/970,843	10/04/2001	Ronald C. Rubenstein	СНОР.0107	1601	
110	7590 02/09/2004		EXAMINER		
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN 1601 MARKET STREET			WANG, SHENGJUN		
SUITE 2400	II SIKEEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	HIA, PA 19103-2307		1617		
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

E	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/970,843	RUBENSTEIN ET AL	••
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shengjun Wang	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply n places the applicati	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appro originally set in the final O	priate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): rejections under 35 U.s.c	<u>. 112</u> .	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ıd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	at(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet	(-/-	<u></u>	
		Primary Evaminar	
		Primary Examiner	7
		Shenglun Wang	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 set forth in the prior office action.Particularly, optimization of administration of a known therapeutical agent is considered within the skill of artisan. Applicants' attention is also directed to MPEM 2144.05.

Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed amendments would overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, and reduce the issue for appeal. However, the claimed subject matters are still properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as set forth in the prior office action. .